

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,534		07/01/2003	Luping Wang	ATMI-469-DIV	4731
25559	7590	01/03/2005		EXAMINER	
ATMI, IN			LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M		
7 COMMERCE DRIVE DANBURY, CT 06810				ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
DANDOR	i, CI 000	,10		1774	

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· 11/				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comme		10/611,534	WANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Frank M. Lawrence	1724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 🖂 6) 🖂 7) 🖂	Claim(s) 19-27,51-53 and 56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 22-27,51-53 and 56 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 19 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🛛 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) 🔲 :	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	, '						
Attachment	• •	•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/611,534

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The first paragraph should be amended to include the current status of the parent application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (5,460,745).
- 5. Lee '745 teaches a particulate hydride composition containing La, Ni and Al that is provided and maintained at 120° C (col. 6, lines 3-23).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 22-27, 51-53 and 56 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest a method of making a porous metal matrix including the steps of heating the matrix in the presence of an oxidizing agent to gasify an oxidizable carbon-

Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

containing material in the matrix, or immersing the matrix in an acidic solution to dissolve soluble metal oxide particles in the matrix. The prior art also fails to disclose or suggest a method of supplying a low vapor pressure fluid, including sorptively retaining the low vapor pressure fluid on a solid-phase metal adsorbent comprising at least one Group VII or Group IB metal in combination with the other method steps recited in claims 51 and 52. The prior art also fails to disclose the method of suppressing pressure perturbations as recited in claim 56, including sorptively taking up any low pressure liquefied gas that would otherwise flow into a regulator using a porous metal matrix comprising at least one Group VIII or IB metal.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

8. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form were cited in the parent application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Frank M. Lawrence **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1724

fl

Trank Faurence